

TENTATIVE RULINGS for CIVIL LAW and MOTION
June 2, 2016

Pursuant to Yolo County Local Rules, the following tentative rulings will become the order of the court unless, by 4:00 p.m. on the court day before the hearing, a party requests a hearing and notifies other counsel of the hearing. To request a hearing, you must contact the clerk of the department where the hearing is to be held. Copies of the tentative rulings will be posted on Yolo Court's Website, at www.yolo.courts.ca.gov. If you are scheduled to appear and there is no tentative ruling in your case, you should appear as scheduled.

Please take note that Yolo Superior Court is now located at 1000 Main Street, in Woodland.

Telephone number for the clerk in Department Ten: (530) 406-6722

TENTATIVE RULING

Case: **Bei v. Hyundai Motor America**
Case No. CV CV 15-1427
Hearing Date: **June 2, 2016** **Department Ten** **9:00 a.m.**

Plaintiff Tanner Bei's motion to compel further responses to request for production nos. 30, 31, 33, is **DENIED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2031.310, subd. (a).) These requests for production fail to comply with Code of Civil Procedure section 2031.030(c)(1), which requires that a demand "[d]esignate the documents, ... either by specifically describing each individual item or by reasonably particularizing each category of item."

Plaintiff's motion to compel a further response to request for production no. 35 is **GRANTED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2031.310, subd. (a).) Defendant has not justified the redactions identified in plaintiff's counsel's letter dated March 21, 2016 to defendant's counsel. If the redactions have been made based on attorney-client privilege or the work product doctrine, defendant shall produce a privilege log by June 10, 2016. If they were not, defendant shall produce an un-redacted copy of the produced material to plaintiff by June 10, 2016.

Monetary sanctions are **DENIED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2031.310, subd. (h).)

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

TENTATIVE RULING

Case: **Richmond-Luwisch v. Richmond**
Case No. CV PT 15-1411
Hearing Date: **June 2, 2016** **Department Ten** **9:00 a.m.**

Respondent Pamela Richmond's motion for attorneys' fees is **GRANTED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 527.6, subd. (s).) Petitioner Scott Richmond Luwisch does not dispute any specific entries or show that any of the entries are erroneous, excessive, or unreasonable. His references to counsel's work as "shoddy" are not sufficiently specific to support an objection to the fees claimed. (Petitioner's Objections, ¶¶ 2, and 3 (page 3); *Jones v. Union Bank* (2005) 127 Cal.App.4th 542, 550.)

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.