

Probate Notes for November 10, 2016

Probate notes are a recommendation as to the outcome of the petition. Unlike tentative rulings, they do not automatically become adopted as the order of the court. Unless an appearance at the hearing is otherwise required by law, if petitioner submits a proposed order prior to the hearing and the recommendation is to grant the petition, no appearance is necessary. If you are scheduled to appear and there is no probate note issued in your case, you should appear as scheduled. If you wish to continue a matter, you must contact the civil division at (530) 406-6704, at least two (2) court days before your hearing.

Please note: The Yolo Superior Court is now located at 1000 Main Street, in Woodland. The following probate matters will be heard in **Department Eleven at 9:00 a.m.**

CASE: Conservatorship of King
Case No. CV PC 09-31

Due to the death of the conservatee, the conservatorship of the person terminated, by operation of law, November 11, 2015.

Pursuant to the Court's order of November 10, 2014, an accounting of the estate is not required so long as the requirements of Probate Code section 2628 are satisfied. Conservator will be discharged upon the filing of a final declaration with the Court showing that the remaining estate assets, if any, have been properly delivered. (Prob. Code, §§ 2467, 2620 et seq.)

CASE: Estate of Bobb
Case No. CV PB 16-172

If proof of publication is filed with the Court, it is recommended to grant the petition for probate of will and letters testamentary, and for full authority under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (Prob. Code, § 8000 et seq.)

CASE: Estate of Breckenridge
Case No. CV PB 16-170

It is recommended to grant the petition for probate of will and letters testamentary and for authorization to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (Prob. Code, § 8200.)

CASE: Estate of Herman
Case No. CV PB 16-173

The Court notes the following deficiency: Petitioner fails to state the facts upon which she bases the allegation that the property described in Attachment 7a is property that should pass to her as the surviving spouse. (Petition, ¶ 7.)

**CASE: Estate of Holton
Case No. CV PB 16-35**

The Court notes the following deficiencies: Petitioner fails to state whether notice was given to the Franchise Tax Board. (Prob. Code, § 9202, subd. (c).)

**CASE: Estate of Huff
Case No. CV PB 13-77**

It is recommended to grant the petitions for allowance of statutory compensation to the attorney for the executor in the amount requested, and for final distribution and settlement of the estate. (Prob. Code, § 11600 et seq.)

**CASE: Estate of Nickolas
Case No. CV P2 16-159**

The parties are **DIRECTED TO APPEAR** for the purpose of appointing counsel for Crispin George Nickolas. (Prob. Code, § 3140, subd. (d)(2).)