

**TENTATIVE RULINGS for CIVIL LAW and MOTION**  
**March 22, 2016**

Pursuant to Yolo County Local Rules, the following tentative rulings will become the order of the court unless, by 4:00 p.m. on the court day before the hearing, a party requests a hearing and notifies other counsel of the hearing. To request a hearing, you must contact the clerk of the department where the hearing is to be held. Copies of the tentative rulings will be posted on Yolo Court's Website, at [www.yolo.courts.ca.gov](http://www.yolo.courts.ca.gov). If you are scheduled to appear and there is no tentative ruling in your case, you should appear as scheduled.

Please take note that Yolo Superior Court is now located at 1000 Main Street, in Woodland.

Telephone number for the clerk in Department Thirteen: (530) 406-6777

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** Li v. Stern  
**Case No. CV UD 16-81**  
**Hearing Date:** March 22, 2016 **Department Thirteen** **9:00 a.m.**

Defendant Jason Taylor's demurrer to the complaint on the ground that the notice does not contain the language set forth in Code of Civil Procedure section 1161(2) is **OVERRULED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 430.10, subd. (e).) Section 1161(2) requires the notice to state "the amount which is due, the name, telephone number, and address of the person to whom the rent payment shall be made, and, if payment may be made personally, the usual days and hours that person will be available to receive the payment..." (Code Civ. Proc., § 1161(2).) The notice does not state that payment may be made personally, so it need not state "the usual days and hours that person will be available to receive the payment..." (Code Civ. Proc., § 1161(2).)

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** Yee v. Haseltine  
**Case No. CV PO 14-933**  
**Hearing Date:** March 22, 2016 **Department Thirteen** **9:00 a.m.**

Defendants Michelle Haseltine, Gloria Haseltine and Harry Haseltine's motion to advance a hearing on a motion for summary judgment is **DENIED**. Defendants show no good cause for their request, as they voluntarily withdrew their motion for summary judgment.

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.