

**TENTATIVE RULINGS for CIVIL LAW and MOTION**  
**November 9, 2015**

Pursuant to Yolo County Local Rules, the following tentative rulings will become the order of the court unless, by 4:00 p.m. on the court day before the hearing, a party requests a hearing and notifies other counsel of the hearing. To request a hearing, you must contact the clerk of the department where the hearing is to be held. Copies of the tentative rulings will be posted at the entrance to the courtroom and on the Yolo Courts Website, at [www.yolo.courts.ca.gov](http://www.yolo.courts.ca.gov). If you are scheduled to appear and there is no tentative ruling in your case, you should appear as scheduled.

Please take note that Yolo Superior Court is now located at 1000 Main Street, in Woodland.

Telephone number for the clerk in Department Eleven: (530) 406-6843  
Telephone number for the clerk in Department Three: (530) 406-6888

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** Cavalry Investments LLC v. Luna  
Case No. CV G 14-1486  
**Hearing Date:** November 9, 2015 Department Eleven 9:00 a.m.

Plaintiff Cavalry Investments LLC's unopposed motion to deem requests for admission admitted is **GRANTED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2033.280, subd. (b).) Monetary sanctions are **DENIED**. The notice of motion does not specify the type of the sanction sought. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2023.040.)

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** Conlee v. Clark-Pacific Corporation  
CV CV 14-1409  
**Hearing Date:** November 9, 2015 Department Three 10:00 a.m.

The parties are **DIRECTED TO APPEAR** for the final approval hearing on the class action settlement. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 3.769(g).)

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** **Vargas v. Pacific Coast Producers**  
**Case No. CV CV 15-633**

**Hearing Date:** **November 9, 2015** **Department Eleven** **9:00 a.m.**

Defendant Pacific Coast Producers’s demurrer to the complaint is **OVERRULED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 430.10, subd. (e).) The improper loading may constitute a trespass, irrespective of contractual relationships.

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.

**TENTATIVE RULING**

**Case:** **Singh v. Doe**  
**Case No. CV UD 15-1322**

**Hearing Date:** **November 9, 2015** **Department Eleven** **9:00 a.m.**

Defendant Darleen Doe’s demurrer to the complaint is **OVERRULED**. (Code Civ. Proc., § 430.10, subd. (e).) Defendant contends that the three-day notice to quit attached to the complaint is insufficient as a matter of law because it fails to state “the usual days and hours that person will be available to receive the payment[.]” (Code Civ. Proc., § 1161(2).) However, the notice states “Personal delivery no later than \_5 pm\_ on September 18, 2015,” and states the name and address at which payment may be personally made. Defendant fails to provide any legal authority to support her contention that this level of detail in the notice violates section 1161(2).

If no hearing is requested, this tentative ruling is effective immediately. No formal order pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.1312, or further notice is required.